# Periodontal Problems Following Surgical Extraction of Impacted Mandibular Third Molars

Majid Eshghpour<sup>1</sup>, Reza Shahakbari<sup>1</sup>, Amirhossein Nejat<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dental Research Center, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Student Research Committee, Faculty of Dentistry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences,

Mashhad, Iran

Received 12 October 2012 and Accepted 24 December 2012

# Abstract

Introduction: There are conflicting reports on the effects of surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars on the periodontium of the adjacent teeth. The aim of this study was to compare the condition of the periodontium six months after extraction of impacted mandibular third molars with baseline values. Methods: Fifty patients with mesioangular impacted mandibular third molarsparticipated in this study. Probing depth (PD), Leo and Sillness' gingival index (GI), and clinical attachment level (CAL) in distobuccal, mid-distal, and distolingual surfaces of second molar teeth were assessed before surgical extraction of the third molars and 6 months later. To evaluate the changes in alveolar bone height (BH), two parallel PA radiographs obtained at the baseline and follow-up session. Data was analyzed with SPSS 11.0 software atthe confidence interval of 95%. Results: Thirty-eight females and 12 males participated in this study. Twenty-eight(56%) of impacted molar teeth were in the right side and 22 (44%) were in the left side. Baseline values of PD, CAL, and GI at three points of the distal surface of the mandibular second molar tooth had no significant differences with follow-up values (P-value> 0.05). According to the radiographs, baseline BH also had insignificant difference with follow-up height (P-value>0.05). Conclusion: Surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molar does not affect periodontium after 6 months.

**Key Words:** Impacted tooth, periodontal status, second molar tooth.

Eshghpour M, Shahakbari R, Nejat A. Periodontal Problems Following Surgical Extraction of Impacted Mandibular Third Molars. J Dent Mater Tech 2013; 2(2): 59-62.

# Introduction

Along with the developments of societies in the recent years, the life style has been changed drastically. One change is a tendency towards eating soft foods. It is suggested that this trend has resulted in a gradual decrease in jaw size and lack of space for third molar teeth. In addition to impaction, pericoronitis, caries, crowding, and odontogenic cysts or tumors are among complications associated with third molar teeth (1).

Third molar extraction either non-surgically or surgically is one of the most common operations in dentistry. There are numerous indications for removal of the third molars. Prevention and treatment of periodontal diseases in the adjacent tooth is one of these indications (2).

However, there exists a dilemma over the effect ofsurgical removal of mandibular third molar tooth onthe periodontal condition of the adjacentsecond molar. Zeigler and Kugelberg et al demonstrated prominent improvement of periodontal indicesin distal part of second molar in after surgery (3,4). In contrast, Stephens et al. (5) and Knutsson et al. (6) reported attachment loss and attenuation in alveolar ridge height following extraction of wisdom tooth in second molar distal part.

The aim of the current study was to investigate the effect of surgical removal of mandibular third molar tooth on periodontal indices of the second molar.

## **Materials and Methods**

This prospective study was performed in Mashhad Faculty of Dentistry between August 2011 and July 2012. The study was approved by Ethical Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences and all patients signed a detailed informed consent.

## **Study Population**

Fifty patients in need of surgical extraction of impacted mandibular third molars participated in this study. Inclusion criteria were no periodontal disease in adjacent second mandibular molar tooth, age between 18 and 30 and mesioangular teeth fully impacted in the bone. Patients who were lactating, pregnant, smoking, consuming drugs interfering with healing process,had periodontal disease or prosthesis on second molar teeth, or reported systemic disorders excluded from the study.

## Periodontal Evaluation

To evaluate the effect of surgery on periodontal indices, probing depth (PD), Leo and Sillness' gingival index of (GI) (4), and clinical attachment level (CAL) were assessed in distobuccal, middistal and distolingual surfaces of second molar tooth before the surgery (baseline) and 6 months later (follow-up). In addition, before the surgery and at the 6-month follow-up, a standard parallel PA was obtained to evaluate changes in bone height (BH).

## Surgical Procedure

All the patients underwent a thorough scaling and oral prophylaxis before surgery. The surgery protocol

was as follows: applying povidine iodine solution around the mouth; blocking inferior alveolar, long buccal, and lingual nerves using 2% lidocaine + 1: 80,000 epinephrine anesthetics; performing standard incision and reflection of mucoperiosteal envelop flap; tooth sectioning, bone removal, and bone recontouring with low-speed handpiece under sufficient irrigation; socket irrigation with 50 ml saline; flap suturing using 3-0 silk suture;and prescribingamoxicillin (500 mg, TID, n=20) and gelofen (400mg cap, TID, for the maximum of 3 days) regimen.

## Statistical Analysis

All data were collected in SPSS version 11.0. Data were reported descriptively and analyzed using t-test and Wilcoxon signed ranks test. The confidence interval of analysis was setat 95%.

## Results

Fifty patients with the mean age of  $23.41 \pm 5.21$  completed the study. Among the patients, 38 (76%) were females and 12 (24%) were males. Twenty-eight (56%) of impacted molar teeth were in the right side of the mandible and 22 (44%) were in the left. Although PD and GI in three measurement sites were increased after 6 months, the difference was not statistically significant (Tables 1, 2). CAL and BH were decreased in the follow-up measurement in comparison to the baseline;however, the difference was not significant (Tables 3, 4).

Variable	Baseline	6-month Follow-up	<b>P-value</b>
	(Mean ± SD)	$(Mean \pm SD)$	
Distobuccal	$2.95\pm0.42$	$3.02\pm0.78$	0.421
MidDistal	$2.53\pm0.23$	$2.86\pm0.32$	0.059
Distolingual	$2.89\pm0.25$	$2.99 \pm 0.41$	0.109

Table 2. Mean score of gingival index in three different sides of second molarat baseline	and follow-up
---	---------------

Variable	Baseline	6-month Follow-up	P-value
	(mean ± SD)	(mean ± SD)	
DistoBuccal	$0.65\pm0.06$	$0.73\pm0.12$	0.098
MidDistal	$0.54\pm0.11$	$0.59\pm0.14$	0.156
DistoLingual	$0.58\pm0.04$	$0.62 \pm 0.11$	0.102

Variable	Baseline	6-month Follow-up	P-value
	(mean ± SD)	$(mean \pm SD)$	
DistoBuccal	$1.98\pm0.23$	$1.83\pm036$	0.314
MidDistal	$1.53\pm0.11$	$1.23\pm0.19$	0.065
DistoLingual	$1.95\pm0.21$	$1.89\pm0.30$	0.467

**Table 4.** Height of alveolar bone in PA radiographs in distal part of second molar tooth at baseline and follow-up

Variable	Baseline (mean ± SD)	6-month Follow-up (mean ± SD)	P-value
Alveolar Bone Height	$3.1\pm0.34$	$2.84\pm0.48$	0.088

# Discussion

According to the results of the current study, in the follow-up session, probing depth (PD) and gingival index (GI) were higher than baseline and there were degrees of attenuation in attachment level (CAL) and bone height (BH), but the changes were not statistically significant.

Along with the increase in the incidence of third molar impaction in humans, the number of patients facing complications related tosurgical removal of this impacted tooth is growing.Onecomplication is periodontal problems (7). Results of Kugelberg (3) and Zeigler (4) revealed that extraction of wisdom tooth could lead to significant changes in periodontal condition in distal surface of adjacent second molar tooth. This is in contrast to the results of our study (3,4). However, Grondahl and Lekholm (8) reported no significant difference in BH at the distal part of second molar tooth, which is in accordance with our findings. They reported the results of a 12-month follow-up. Although we reported changes in BH after 6 months, no significant difference observed between two assessments. In addition, after 2 to 4 years of extraction, Kugelberg et al. (3) found no statistically significant changes in BH.

There exists conflictingresults in previous reports. Peng et al. (9) compared periodontal status of second molar teeth adjacent to the extracted wisdom tooth with the other side second molar. They performed a retrospective study on 57 cases who had their teeth removed at least 5 years before the study. They observed a significant loss in attachment level and bone height in addition to the increased probing depth of experimental sides.

Another retrospective study by Kan et al supported the results of Peng et al study. They performed a similar study on 158 patients who had their wisdom teeth removed 6 months to 3 years prior to the study (10).In contrast, Krausz et al. (7) with similar study design, found significant bone gain in the experimental site after 28 to 58 months. Although Krausz et alstudieshad smaller sample size (25 patients) in comparison to Peng et al. (9) and Kan et al. (10), they had longer follow-up period.

This study was a prospective study in which the periodontal parameters of adjacent second molar tooth 6 months after surgery were compared to the baseline values of the same tooth. According to this difference in study design, the results of the current study are more valid than mentioned retrospective studies (7,9,10).

Richardson and Dodson (11) performed a review over the effect of removal of wisdom tooth on periodontium of adjacent second molar tooth. They only included prospective RCT studies with more than 6 months follow-up. They included eight studies and concluded that surgical extraction of impacted wisdom tooth had insignificant effect on probing depth and attachment level in distal surface of second molar tooth;the conclusion which is in accordance with the results of the current study.

# Conclusion

The results of the current study revealed that wellperformed surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molar tooth, does not lead to permanent periodontal problems in distal surface of adjacent second molar tooth.

# Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the staff of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinic and Dental Research Center for their cooperation.

# References

- Chaparro-Avendaño AV, Perez-Garcia S, Valmaseda-Castellon E, Berini-Aytes L, Gay-Escoda C. Morbidity of third molar extraction in patients between 12 and 18 years of age. Med Oral Pathol Oral Cir Bucal 2005; 10: 422-31.
- Kaminishi RM, Lam PS, Kaminishi KS, Marshall MW, Hochwald DA. A 10-year comparative study of the incidence of third molar removal in the aging population. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006; 64: 173-4.
- Kugelberg CF. Periodontal healing two and four years after impacted lower third molar surgery. A comparative retrospective study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1990; 19: 341–5.
- Zeigler RS. Preventive dentistry new concepts: preventing periodontal pockets. Va Dent J 1975; 52: 11-3.

- Stephens RJ, App GR, Foreman DW. Periodontal evaluation of two mucoperiosteal flaps used in removing impacted mandibular third molars. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1983; 41: 719-24.
- Knutsson K, Brehmer B, Lysell L, Rohlin M. Pathoses associated with mandibular third molars subjected to removal. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1996; 82: 10-7.
- Krausz AA, Machtei EE, Peled M. Effects of lower third molar extraction on attachment level and alveolar bone height of the adjacent second molar. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2005; 34: 756-60.
- Gröndahl HG, Lekholm U. Influence of mandibular third molars on related supporting tissues. Int J Oral Surg 1973; 2: 137-42.

## **Corresponding Author:**

Amirhossein Nejat Faculty of Dentistry Vakilabad Blvd, Mashhad, Iran Tel: +98-9153148853 Fax: +98-511-8829500 E-mail: a\_68\_n@yahoo.com & Nejata861@mums.ac.ir

- Peng KY, Tseng YC, Shen EC, Chiu SC, Fu E, Huang YW. Mandibular second molar periodontal status after third molar extraction. J Periodontol 2001; 72: 1647-51
- Kan KW, Liu JK, Lo EC, Corbet EF, Leung WK. Residual periodontal defects distal to the mandibular second molar 6-36 months after impacted third molar extraction. J Clin Periodontol 2002; 29: 1004-11
- Richardson DT, Dodson TB. Risk of periodontal defects after third molar surgery: An exercise in evidence-based clinical decision making.Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2005; 100: 133-7.